To: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US@EPA[]

Cc: "Willard Potter" [otto@demaximis.com]; Robert Law" [rlaw@demaximis.com];

mab.consulting@verizon.net>[]
From: "Geoffrey Seibel"

Sent: Tue 12/18/2012 1:43:45 PM

Subject: Re: NBSA bathy survey -> Tierra's request to consider additional Field Mod

Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov

Naranjo.Eugenia@epa.gov rlaw@demaximis.com rlaw@demaximis.com

gcs@demaximis.com

otto@demaximis.com

mab.consulting@verizon.net

Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov

Naranjo.Eugenia@epa.gov

mailto:William.Gerken@aecom.com

William.Gerken@AECOM.com

www.aecom.com

doug.simmons@aecom.com

www.aecom.com

Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov

mailto:Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov

Naranjo.Eugenia@epa.gov

Hi Eugenia. Nice to meet you as well. Rob and I used to be friends.

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 18, 2012, at 7:59 AM, "<Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov>" <Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov> wrote: Nice to meet you Geoff. What have you done to deserve this? Happy holidays to you all, eugenia

Eugenia Naranjo United States Environmental Protection Agency 290 Broadway New York, NY 10007-1866 212-637-3467 Naranjo.Eugenia@epa.gov

----- "Robert Law" < rlaw@demaximis.com > wrote: -----

To: Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US@EPA From: "Robert Law" <rlaw@demaximis.com>

Date: 12/18/2012 05:42AM

Cc: "Geoffrey Seibel" <gcs@demaximis.com>, "Willard Potter" <otto@demaximis.com>, "Mike Barbara"

<mab.consulting@verizon.net>

Subject: Re: FW: NBSA bathy survey -> Tierra's request to consider additional Field Mod

Tierra will hate that....

I need to introduce you to Geoff Seibel - he is going to be assuming the role of NBSA RI/FS coordination

for the CPG. You will like Geoff - he is much easier to get along with than me
I hope you, Rafa and the other two boys have a great Christmas and a Happy New Year
R/ Rob
>>> <naranjo.eugenia@epamail.epa.gov> 12/17/2012 10:53 PM >>> FYI, I asked Tierra to submit the Field Modification, based on the exchange between AECOM-SEA Engineering.</naranjo.eugenia@epamail.epa.gov>
Eugenia Naranjo United States Environmental Protection Agency 290 Broadway
New York, NY 10007-1866 212-637-3467
Naranjo.Eugenia@epa.gov
Forwarded by Eugenia Naranjo/R2/USEPA/US on 12/17/2012 09:51PM
From: Gerken, William[mailto:William.Gerken@aecom.com] Sent: Monday, December 17, 2012 8:27 AM To: Simmons, Doug Cc: Jason Magalen Subject: RE: NBSA bathy survey -> Tierra's request to consideradditional Field Mod Importance: High
Hi Doug,
Based on Jason's daily logs, I believe it would be suitable toallow them to go to from 45° to 60° off center (90° up to 120° total width) fordown looking multibeam work.
Jason,
If you have additional input or concerns please let me knowASAP.
Thanks.
Bill Gerken, P.E.
Senior Engineer Project Manager
Coastal Engineering and Dredging

D (206)403-4266 M (206)491-6350 William.Gerken@AECOM.com **AECOM** 710 Second Avenue, Suite 1000 Seattle, WA 98104 T (206)624-9349 F (206)623-3793 www.aecom.com From: Simmons, Doug Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 8:26 PM To: Gerken, William Subject: FW: NBSA bathy survey -> Tierra's request to consideradditional Field Mod Bill: Please let me know what you think. Does this also identify a potential time for your site visit? Doug **Doug Simmons AECOM Environment** D 978.905.2401 C 978.273.4649 doug.simmons@aecom.com **AECOM** 250 Apollo Drive

Chelmsford, MA 01824

T 978.905.2100

F 978.905.2101

www.aecom.com

From: Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov[mailto:Naranjo.Eugenia@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, December 14, 2012 3:33 PM

To: Simmons, Doug Cc: Willard Potter

Subject: NBSA bathy survey -> Tierra's request to consider additionalField Mod

Rob,

Pleasenote that although we have directed Tierra/OSI to limit the beam angle to 45degrees, their performance/patch tests have shown their system performancebetter than the USACE specs and Tierra would like to propose the Field Mod below. Iunderstand Bill Gerken and Jason Magalen have exchanged information regardingthe test results. Can you check with AECOM/GBA on the proposed Field Modbelow and provide some feedback soon -like today or Monday?

Thanks,

е

BeamAngle

During setup last week we discussed that the beam angle limitation of 90degrees was probably unnecessary since it was not likely the cause of theuncertainty in the 2008 survey data obtained by GBA. If you concur, wewould like EPA to consider allowing OSI to open up the beam angle to 120degrees so we can improve our production rate. Of course, all standardcalibrations, checks, etc., would need to be satisfied. If you are stillwilling to consider this, please let us know so we can prepare a FieldModification for this change. It might help if you suggest any languageor considerations that you feel are important to facilitate such a change inthe protocol.

Eugenia Naranjo United States Environmental Protection Agency 290 Broadway New York, NY 10007-1866 212-637-3467 Naranjo.Eugenia@epa.gov